

Peter G. Northouse

Leadership

Theory and Practice

10th
edition



Leadership

Tenth Edition

To

Madison, Isla, Sullivan, Edison, and Odin

As a global academic publisher, Sage is driven by the belief that research and education are critical in shaping society. Our mission is building bridges to knowledge—supporting the development of ideas into scholarship that is certified, taught, and applied in the real world.

Sage's founder, Sara Miller McCune, transferred control of the company to an independent trust, which guarantees our independence indefinitely. This enables us to support an equitable academic future over the long term by building lasting relationships, championing diverse perspectives, and co-creating social and behavioral science resources that transform teaching and learning.

Leadership

Theory and Practice

Tenth Edition

Peter G. Northouse

Western Michigan University



BRIEF CONTENTS

Preface		xvii
Acknowledgments		xxi
About the Author		xxv
About the Contributors		xxvii
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
Chapter 2	Trait Approach	25
Chapter 3	Skills Approach	53
Chapter 4	Behavioral Approach	81
Chapter 5	Situational Approach	105
Chapter 6	Path–Goal Theory	127
Chapter 7	Leader–Member Exchange Theory	151
Chapter 8	Transformational Leadership	179
Chapter 9	Authentic Leadership	215
Chapter 10	Servant Leadership	245
Chapter 11	Adaptive Leadership	277
Chapter 12	Team Leadership	313
	<i>John R. Turner</i>	
Chapter 13	Followership	351
Chapter 14	Social Identity Leadership	391
Chapter 15	Inclusive Leadership	419
	<i>Donna Chrobot-Mason and Quinetta Roberson</i>	
Chapter 16	Leadership Ethics	451
References		489
Index		537

DETAILED CONTENTS

Preface	xvii
Acknowledgments	xxi
About the Author	xxv
About the Contributors	xxvii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Leadership Defined	2
Ways of Conceptualizing Leadership	2
The Evolution of Leadership Concepts	2
Leadership in the 20th Century	3
Leadership in the New Millennium	4
Definition and Components	4
Leadership Described	5
Trait Versus Process Leadership	6
Assigned Versus Emergent Leadership	7
Leadership and Power	8
Leadership and Coercion	10
Leadership and Morality	11
Leadership as a Value-Neutral Process	11
Leadership as a Moral Process	12
Leadership and Management	13
Plan of the Book	15
Case Studies	16
Leadership Instrument	19
Summary	22
Chapter 2 Trait Approach	25
Description	25
Intelligence	28
Self-Confidence	30
Determination	31
Integrity	31
Sociability	32
Five-Factor Personality Model and Leadership	32

Strengths and Leadership	33
Emotional Intelligence	35
How Does the Trait Approach Work?	38
Strengths	38
Criticisms	39
Application	41
Case Studies	42
Leadership Instrument	48
Summary	51

Chapter 3 Skills Approach 53

Description	53
Three-Skill Approach	53
Technical Skills	54
Human Skills	54
Conceptual Skills	55
Summary of the Three-Skill Approach	56
Skills Model	56
Individual Attributes	57
Competencies	59
Influences on Skills Development	63
Leadership Outcomes	64
Summary of the Skills Model	65
How Does the Skills Approach Work?	65
Strengths	66
Criticisms	68
Application	68
Case Studies	70
Leadership Instrument	76
Summary	79

Chapter 4 Behavioral Approach 81

Description	81
Task and Relationship Behaviors	82
Task Orientation	82
Relationship Orientation	82
Historical Background of the Behavioral Approach	82
The Ohio State Studies	82
The University of Michigan Studies	83
Blake and Mouton's Managerial (Leadership) Grid	84

Paternalism/Maternalism	86
Opportunism	87
Recent Studies	88
How Does the Behavioral Approach Work?	89
Strengths	89
Criticisms	90
Application	92
Case Studies	93
Leadership Instrument	100
Summary	103
Chapter 5 Situational Approach	105
Description	105
Leadership Style	106
Development Level	107
How Does SLII® Work?	108
Strengths	110
Criticisms	111
Application	114
Case Studies	116
Leadership Instrument	122
Summary	126
Chapter 6 Path–Goal Theory	127
Description	127
Leader Behaviors	129
Directive Leadership	129
Supportive Leadership	130
Participative Leadership	130
Achievement-Oriented Leadership	131
Follower Characteristics	131
Task Characteristics	133
How Does Path–Goal Theory Work?	134
Strengths	135
Criticisms	136
Application	138
Case Studies	140
Leadership Instrument	147
Summary	150

Chapter 7 Leader–Member Exchange Theory	151
Description	151
Early Studies	151
Later Studies	153
Leadership Development	155
Emotions and LMX Development	158
How Does LMX Theory Work?	159
Strengths	160
Criticisms	162
Application	164
Case Studies	166
Leadership Instrument	173
Summary	176
Chapter 8 Transformational Leadership	179
Description	179
Transformational Leadership Defined	180
Transformational Versus Transactional Leadership	180
Pseudotransformational Leadership	181
Transformational Leadership and Charisma	182
A Model of Transformational Leadership	184
Transformational Leadership Factors	185
Transactional Leadership Factors	189
Nonleadership Factor	190
Transformational Leadership Measurements	191
Other Transformational Perspectives	192
Bennis and Nanus	192
Kouzes and Posner	193
How Does the Transformational Leadership Approach Work?	195
Strengths	196
Criticisms	198
Application	201
Case Studies	203
Leadership Instrument	211
Summary	214
Chapter 9 Authentic Leadership	215
Description	215
Authentic Leadership Defined	215
Approaches to Authentic Leadership	216

Practical Approach	216
Theoretical Approach	219
How Does Authentic Leadership Work?	224
Strengths	225
Criticisms	227
Application	228
Case Studies	230
Leadership Instrument	240
Summary	243

Chapter 10 Servant Leadership **245**

Description	245
Servant Leadership Defined	245
Historical Basis of Servant Leadership	246
Ten Characteristics of a Servant Leader	247
Building a Theory About Servant Leadership	249
Model of Servant Leadership	251
Antecedent Conditions	251
Context and Culture	251
Leader Attributes	252
Follower Receptivity	252
Servant Leader Behaviors	253
Conceptualizing	253
Emotional Healing	253
Putting Followers First	254
Helping Followers Grow and Succeed	254
Behaving Ethically	254
Empowering	255
Creating Value for the Community	255
Outcomes	256
Follower Performance and Growth	256
Organizational Performance	257
Summary of the Model of Leadership	258
How Does Servant Leadership Work?	258
Strengths	259
Criticisms	260
Application	262
Case Studies	263
Leadership Instrument	271
Summary	275

Chapter 11 Adaptive Leadership	277
Description	277
Adaptive Leadership Defined	277
A Model of Adaptive Leadership	279
Situational Challenges	280
Leader Behaviors	281
1. Get on the Balcony	282
2. Identify the Adaptive Challenge	283
3. Regulate Distress	284
4. Maintain Disciplined Attention	287
5. Give the Work Back to the People	288
6. Protect Leadership Voices From Below	289
Adaptive Work	290
How Does Adaptive Leadership Work?	291
Strengths	293
Criticisms	295
Application	296
Case Studies	299
Leadership Instrument	306
Summary	311
Chapter 12 Team Leadership	313
<i>John R. Turner</i>	
Description	313
Groups Versus Teams	314
Stages of Group Development	316
Teams	319
Foundational Elements of Teams	320
Team Composition	320
Teamwork Skills	320
Team Transition Processes	322
Types of Teams	323
Types of Team Leadership	326
External Leaders	326
Internal Leaders	326
Collective Leadership	327
Self-Managing Team Structures	330
How Does Team Leadership Work?	331
Strengths	336
Criticisms	336
Application	337
Case Studies	339

Leadership Instrument	344
Summary	348
Chapter 13 Followership	351
Description	351
Followership Defined	352
Role-Based and Relational-Based Perspectives	353
Typologies of Followership	353
The Zalesnik Typology	354
The Kelley Typology	354
The Chaleff Typology	356
The Kellerman Typology	358
Theoretical Approaches to Followership	360
Reversing the Lens	360
The Leadership Co-Created Process	362
New Perspectives on Followership	363
Followership and Destructive Leaders	366
1. Our Need for Reassuring Authority Figures	368
2. Our Need for Security and Certainty	368
3. Our Need to Feel Chosen or Special	369
4. Our Need for Membership in the Human Community	369
5. Our Fear of Ostracism, Isolation, and Social Death	369
6. Our Fear of Powerlessness to Challenge a Bad Leader	370
How Does Followership Work?	370
Strengths	371
Criticisms	373
Application	375
Case Studies	376
Leadership Instrument	384
Summary	389
Chapter 14 Social Identity Leadership	391
Description	391
Social Identity Leadership Defined	392
Dimensions of Social Identity Leadership	394
Identity Prototypicality: Being One of “Us”	395
Identity Advancement: Doing It for “Us”	396
Identity Entrepreneurship: Crafting a Sense of “Us”	396
Identity Impresarioship: Making “Us” Matter	397
A Developmental Model of Social Identity Leadership	398
How Does Social Identity Leadership Work?	399
Strengths	400

xiv Leadership

Criticisms	401
Application	402
Reflecting	402
Representing	403
Realizing	404
Case Studies	406
Leadership Instrument	412
Summary	415

Chapter 15 Inclusive Leadership 419

Donna Chrobot-Mason and Quinetta Roberson

Description	419
Inclusion Defined	421
A Model of Inclusive Leadership	424
Antecedent Conditions	425
Inclusive Leadership Behaviors	428
Outcomes	429
How Does Inclusive Leadership Work?	430
Strengths	432
Criticisms	432
Application	434
Assessment	435
Challenge	436
Support	436
Case Studies	438
Leadership Instrument	444
Summary	448

Chapter 16 Leadership Ethics 451

Description	451
Ethics Defined	451
Level 1. Preconventional Morality	452
Level 2. Conventional Morality	453
Level 3. Postconventional Morality	454
Ethical Theories	454
Centrality of Ethics to Leadership	457
Heifetz's Perspective on Ethical Leadership	458
Burns's Perspective on Ethical Leadership	459
The Dark Side of Leadership	459
Principles of Ethical Leadership	462
Ethical Leaders Respect Others	462
Ethical Leaders Serve Others	463

Ethical Leaders Are Just	464
Ethical Leaders Are Honest	465
Ethical Leaders Build Community	466
Strengths	467
Criticisms	469
Application	470
Case Studies	472
Leadership Instrument	480
Summary	486
References	489
Index	537

PREFACE

Since the first publication of this book nearly 30 years ago, our need to understand leadership has grown exponentially. The phenomenon of leadership has been addressed in hundreds of books from simple self-help books with lists of aphorisms about being a good leader to complex studies of how leadership functions in large corporations. Still, the importance of understanding how leadership works and the value of competent leadership in times of crisis remain high. To that end, this edition is written to bridge the gap between the often-simplistic popular approaches to leadership and the more abstract theoretical approaches. Like previous editions, this tenth edition reviews and analyzes a selected number of leadership theories, giving special attention to how each theoretical approach can be applied in real-world organizations. In essence, my purpose is to explore how leadership theory can inform and direct the way leadership is practiced in real-life settings.

NEW TO THIS EDITION

First, this edition includes a **new chapter** on “social identity leadership.” Social identity leadership is about an individual’s ability to effect change in a group by exhibiting to others a shared sense of social identity. The chapter examines the underpinnings of this approach, provides a model of the approach, and describes how it works. In addition, the strengths and weaknesses of social identity leadership are examined, and a questionnaire is provided to help readers assess their own social identity leadership. Three case studies illustrating social identity leadership are presented at the end of the chapter.

Second, this volume includes an entirely **new chapter** on “team leadership,” written by John R. Turner, which focuses on leadership at the group or team level. Like the other chapters, this chapter provides a theoretical explanation of leadership of groups and teams, including applications, strengths and weaknesses, case studies, and an assessment instrument.

A noted feature in this edition is that each chapter features three case studies that help students apply and synthesize the chapter’s concepts. Because it is important to acknowledge and see real leaders exhibiting the behaviors and concepts behind the leadership approaches discussed in the text, most chapters provide at least one profile of a contemporary leader who exhibits the behaviors and concepts behind the leadership approaches discussed in the text. In addition, ten new case studies have been added to this edition.

This edition retains many special features from previous editions but has been updated to include new research findings, figures and tables, and everyday applications for many leadership topics including the skills approach, authentic leadership, inclusive leadership, followership, and leadership ethics. In addition, it includes an expanded look at the relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership.

The format of this edition parallels the format used in earlier editions. As with previous editions, the overall goal of *Leadership: Theory and Practice* is to advance our understanding of the many different approaches to leadership and ways to practice it more effectively.

SPECIAL FEATURES

Although this text presents and analyzes a wide range of leadership research, every attempt has been made to present the material in a clear, concise, and interesting manner. Reviewers of the book have consistently commented that clarity is one of its major strengths. In addition to the writing style, several other features of the book help make it user-friendly.

- Each chapter follows the same format: It is structured to include first theory and then practice.
- Every chapter contains a discussion of the strengths and criticisms of the approach under consideration and assists readers in determining the relative merits of each approach.
- Each chapter includes an application section that discusses the practical aspects of the approach and how it could be used in today's organizational settings.
- Three case studies are provided in each chapter to illustrate common leadership issues and dilemmas. Thought-provoking questions follow each case study, helping readers to interpret the case.
- A questionnaire is provided in each of the chapters to help readers apply the approach to their own leadership style or setting.
- Figures and tables illustrate the content of the theory and make the ideas more meaningful.

Through these special features, every effort has been made to make this text substantive, understandable, and practical.

AUDIENCE

This book provides both an in-depth presentation of leadership theory and a discussion of how it applies to real-life situations. Thus, it is intended for undergraduate and graduate classes in management, leadership studies, business, educational leadership, public administration, nursing and allied health, social work, criminal justice, industrial and organizational psychology, communication, religion, agricultural education, political and military science, and training and development. It can also be utilized outside of academia by small and large companies, as well as federal government agencies, to aid in developing the learner's leadership skills. It is particularly well suited as a supplementary text for core organizational behavior courses or as an overview text within MBA curricula. This book would also be useful as a text in student activities, continuing education, in-service training, and other leadership-development programs.

TEACHING RESOURCES

This text includes an array of instructor teaching materials designed to save you time and to help you keep students engaged. To learn more, visit sagepub.com or contact your SAGE representative at sagepub.com/findmyrep.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many people directly or indirectly contributed to the development of the tenth edition of *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. First, I would like to acknowledge my editor, Lily Norton, and my development editor, Megan O’Heffernan, who have contributed in so many different ways to the quality and success of this book. For their very capable work during the production phase, I would like to thank the copy editor, Melinda Masson, and the project editor, Veronica Stapleton-Hooper. In their own unique way, each of these people made valuable contributions to the tenth edition.

I am grateful to Niklas Steffens for his insights and suggestions regarding the new chapter on social identity leadership. For his contributions to the development of the self-assessment questionnaires and insight on many other parts of the text, I would like to thank Paul Yelsma, and for the servant leadership case study on their work with Q’eqchi’ Maya, I am grateful to Tara and Rob Cahill for sharing their story.

A very special acknowledgment goes to Laurel Northouse, who in addition to being an excellent sounding board and editor has patiently supported this project from its inception in 1990 to the present. In addition, I am especially grateful to Marie Lee for her exceptional editing and guidance throughout this project. The imprints of these two people are all over this book, and I very much appreciate their support.

In addition, I would like to thank the many undergraduate and graduate students whom I have taught through the years. Their ongoing feedback has helped clarify my thinking about leadership and encouraged me to make plain the practical implications of leadership theories.

Finally, I would like to thank the following reviewers for their valuable contributions to the development of this manuscript:

John Baker, *Western Kentucky University*
Nicholas Brake, *Western Kentucky University*
June Covington, *CSU Chico*
Alison L. Dunn, *Texas A&M University*
Stephanie J. Jones, *Texas Tech University*
Judith McIntyre, *Vancouver Island University*
Trey Morgan, *Texas A&M University*
Pam Sanger, *CSU Sacramento*
Nicole Stedman, *University of Florida*
John Turner, *University of North Texas*

Kevin P. Wallace, *John Carroll University*
John Watt, *Iowa State University*

I would like to thank the following reviewers for their valuable contributions to the development of the ninth-edition manuscript:

Sidney R. Castle, *National University*
Jason Headrick, *Texas Tech University*
Michelle Jefferson, *Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey*
Gary F. Kohut, *The University of North Carolina at Charlotte*
R. Jeffery Maxfield, *Utah Valley University*
Daniel F. Nehring, *Morehead State University*
Michael Pace, *Texas A&M University*
Heather I. Scott, *Kennesaw State University*
Charlotte Silvers, *Texas Tech University*
Elena Svetieva, *University of Colorado Colorado Springs*
Mark Vrooman, *Utica College*
Isaac Wanasika, *University of Northern Colorado*
Rosie Watwood, *Concordia University Texas*

I would like to thank the following reviewers for their valuable contributions to the development of the eighth-edition manuscript:

Sandra Arumugam-Osburn, *St. Louis Community College–Forest Park*
Rob Elkington, *University of Ontario Institute of Technology*
Abimbola Farinde, *Columbia Southern University*
Belinda S. Han, *Utah Valley University*
Deborah A. Johnson-Blake, *Liberty University*
Benjamin Kutsyuruba, *Queen's University*
Chenwei Liao, *Michigan State University*
Heather J. Mashburn, *Appalachian State University*
Comfort Okpala, *North Carolina A&T State University*
Ric Rohm, *Southeastern University*
Patricia Dillon Sobczak, *Virginia Commonwealth University*
Victor S. Sohmen, *Drexel University*
Brigitte Steinheider, *University of Oklahoma–Tulsa*
Robert Waris, *University of Missouri–Kansas City*
Sandi Zeljko, *Lake-Sumter State College*
Mary Zonsius, *Rush University*


I would like to thank the following reviewers for their valuable contributions to the development of the seventh-edition manuscript:

Hamid Akbari, *Winona State University*
Meera Alagaraja, *University of Louisville*
Mel Albin, *Excelsior College*
Thomas Batsching, *Reutlingen University*
Cheryl Beeler, *Angelo State University*
Julie Bjorkman, *Benedictine University*
Mark D. Bowman, *Methodist University*
Dianne Burns, *University of Manchester*
Eric Buschlen, *Central Michigan University*
Steven Bryant, *Drury University*
Daniel Calhoun, *Georgia Southern University*
David Conrad, *Augsburg College*
Joyce Cousins, *Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland*
Denise Danna, *LSUHSC School of Nursing*
S. Todd Deal, *Georgia Southern University*
Caroline S. Fulmer, *University of Alabama*
Brad Gatlin, *John Brown University*
Greig A. Gjerdalen, *Capilano University*
Andrew Gonzales, *University of California, Irvine*
Decker B. Hains, *Western Michigan University*
Amanda Hasty, *University of Colorado—Denver*
Carl Holschen, *Missouri Baptist University*
Kiran Ismail, *St. John's University*
Irma Jones, *University of Texas at Brownsville*
Michele D. Kegley, *University of Cincinnati, Blue Ash College*
Jeanea M. Lambeth, *Pittsburg State University*
David Lees, *University of Derby*
David S. McClain, *University of Hawaii at Manoa*
Carol McMillan, *New School University*
Richard Milter, *Johns Hopkins University*
Christopher Neck, *Arizona State University—Tempe*
Keeok Park, *University of La Verne*
Richard Parkman, *University of Plymouth*
Lori M. Pindar, *Clemson University*
Chaminda S. Prelis, *University of Dubuque*
Casey Rae, *George Fox University*

Noel Ronan, *Waterford Institute of Technology*
Louis Rubino, *California State University, Northridge*
Shadia Sachedina, *Baruch College (School of Public Affairs)*
Harriet L. Schwartz, *Carlow University*
Kelli K. Smith, *University of Nebraska-Lincoln*
David Swenson, *The College of St. Scholastica*
Danny L. Talbot, *Washington State University*
Robert L. Taylor, *University of Louisville*
Precious Taylor-Clifton, *Cambridge College*
John Tummons, *University of Missouri*
Kristi Tyran, *Western Washington University*
Tamara Von George, *Granite State College*
Natalie Walker, *Seminole State College*
William Welch, *Bowie State University*
David E. Williams, *Texas Tech University*
Tony Wohlers, *Cameron University*
Sharon A. Wulf, *Worcester Polytechnic Institute School of Business*
Alec Zama, *Grand View University*
Xia Zhao, *California State University, Dominguez Hills*

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Peter G. Northouse, PhD, is Professor Emeritus of Communication in the School of Communication at Western Michigan University. *Leadership: Theory and Practice* is the world's best-selling academic textbook on leadership and has been translated into 15 languages. In addition to authoring publications in professional journals, Dr. Northouse is the author of *Introduction to Leadership: Concepts and Practice* (now in its sixth edition) and co-author of *Leadership Case Studies in Education* (now in its fourth edition) and *Health Communication: Strategies for Health Professionals* (now in its third edition). His scholarly and curricular interests include models of leadership, leadership assessment, ethical leadership, and leadership and group dynamics. For more than 40 years, he has taught courses in leadership, interpersonal communication, and organizational communication on both the undergraduate and graduate levels. Currently, he is a consultant and lecturer on trends in leadership research, leadership development, and leadership education. He holds a doctorate in speech communication from the University of Denver, and master's and bachelor's degrees in communication education from Michigan State University.



ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

Donna Chrobot-Mason, PhD, is an associate professor and director of the Center for Organizational Leadership at the University of Cincinnati (UC). She is director of UC Women Lead, a 10-month executive leadership program for high-potential women at UC. Her research and consulting work has spanned two decades and centers on leadership across differences and strategies for creating organizations that support diversity, equity, and inclusion and foster intergroup collaboration. She has published nearly 40 articles and scholarly works in journals such as the *Journal of Management*, *The Leadership Quarterly*, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, and *Group and Organization Management*. She has served on the editorial review board for the *Journal of Management*, *Personnel Psychology*, and the *Journal of Business and Psychology*. Her book (co-authored with Chris Ernst), *Boundary Spanning Leadership: Six Practices for Solving Problems, Driving Innovation, and Transforming Organizations*, was published by McGraw-Hill Professional in 2010. Dr. Chrobot-Mason has been invited to address numerous audiences including the Brookings Institution, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Internal Revenue Service, Catholic Health Partners, and the International Leadership Association. She has consulted with numerous organizations including Briggs and Stratton, Dayton Public Schools, Boehringer Ingelheim, Emory University, Milacron, and Forest City Enterprises. She holds a PhD and master's degree in applied psychology from the University of Georgia.

Quinetta Roberson, PhD, is the John A. Hannah Distinguished Professor of Management and Psychology at Michigan State University. Prior to her current position, she was an Endowed Chair at Villanova University and a tenured professor at Cornell University. She has been a visiting scholar at universities on six continents and has more than 20 years of global experience in teaching courses, facilitating workshops, and advising organizations on diversity and inclusion, leadership, and talent management. Dr. Roberson has published over 40 scholarly journal articles and book chapters and edited a *Handbook of Diversity in the Workplace* (2013). Her research and consulting work focus on developing organizational capability and enhancing effectiveness through the strategic management of people, particularly diverse work teams, and are informed by her background in finance, having worked as a financial analyst and small business development consultant prior to obtaining her doctorate. She earned her PhD

in organizational behavior from the University of Maryland and holds undergraduate and graduate degrees in finance.

John R. Turner is an associate professor at Texas A&M University in the College of Education and Human Development for the Department of Educational Administration and Human Resource Development. Dr. Turner's research focus includes complexity thinking, decision making, distributed leadership, leadership development, multilevel analysis, organization development and change, and team science. He is the co-creator of The Flow System and co-author of *The Flow System Playbook*, *The Flow System: The Evolution of Agile and Lean Thinking in an Age of Complexity*, *The Flow System Guide*, and *The Flow System: Key Principles and Attributes*. He has published over 80 articles in various journals and book chapters and presented his research at conferences including the Academy of Human Resource Development (AHRD), the International Society for Performance Improvement (ISPI), the International Science of Team Science Conference, the International Network for the Science of Team Science (INSciTS), the International Conference on Knowledge Management, the Lean Solutions Summit, and the Lean Summit. He co-founded The Flow Consortium, LLC, and Performance Development Network, LLC.

1

INTRODUCTION

Leadership is a highly sought-after and highly valued commodity. In the nearly 30 years since the first edition of this book was published, the public has become increasingly captivated by the idea of leadership. People continue to ask themselves and others what makes good leaders. As individuals, they seek more information on how to become effective leaders. As a result, bookstore shelves are filled with popular books about leaders and how to be a leader. Many people believe that leadership is a way to improve their personal, social, and professional lives. Corporations seek those with leadership ability because they believe these individuals bring special assets to their organizations and, ultimately, improve the bottom line. Academic institutions throughout the country have responded by offering programs in leadership studies, including at the master's and doctoral levels.

In addition, leadership has gained the attention of researchers worldwide. Leadership research is increasing dramatically, and findings underscore that there is a wide variety of different theoretical approaches to explain the complexities of the leadership process (e.g., Bass, 2008; Bryman, 1992; Bryman, Collinson, Grint, Jackson, & Uhl-Bien, 2011; Curtin, 2022; Day & Antonakis, 2012; Dinh et al., 2014; J. Gardner, 1990; W. Gardner et al., 2020; Hickman, 2016; Mumford, 2006; Rost, 1991). Some researchers conceptualize leadership as a trait or as a behavior, whereas others view leadership from an information-processing perspective or relational standpoint.

Leadership has been studied using both qualitative and quantitative methods in many contexts, including small groups, therapeutic groups, and large organizations. In recent years, this research has included experiments designed to explain how leadership influences follower attitudes and performance (Podsakoff & Podsakoff, 2019) in hopes of increasing the practical usefulness of leadership research.

Collectively, the research findings on leadership provide a picture of a process that is far more sophisticated and complex than the often-simplistic view presented in some of the popular books on leadership.

This book treats leadership as a complex process having multiple dimensions. Based on the research literature, this text provides an in-depth description and application of many different approaches to leadership. Our emphasis is on how theory can inform the practice of leadership. In this book, we describe each theory and then explain how the theory can be used in real situations.

LEADERSHIP DEFINED

There are many ways to finish the sentence “Leadership is . . .” In fact, as Stogdill (1974, p. 7) pointed out in a review of leadership research, there are almost as many different definitions of *leadership* as there are people who have tried to define it. It is much like the words *democracy*, *love*, and *peace*. Although each of us intuitively knows what we mean by such words, the words can have different meanings for different people. As shown in the following section, scholars and practitioners have attempted to define leadership for more than a century without universal consensus.

Ways of Conceptualizing Leadership

In the past 60 years, as many as 65 different classification systems have been developed to define the dimensions of leadership (Fleishman et al., 1991). One such classification system, directly related to our discussion, is the scheme proposed by Bass (2008, pp. 11–20). He suggested that some definitions view leadership as the *focus of group processes*. From this perspective, the leader is at the center of group change and activity and embodies the will of the group. Another set of definitions conceptualizes leadership from a *personality perspective*, which suggests that leadership is a combination of special traits or characteristics that some individuals possess. These traits enable those individuals to induce others to accomplish tasks. Other approaches to leadership define it as an *act* or a *behavior*—the things leaders do to bring about change in a group.

In addition, some define leadership in terms of the *power relationship* that exists between leaders and followers. From this viewpoint, leaders have power that they wield to effect change in others. Others view leadership as a *transformational process* that moves followers to accomplish more than is usually expected of them. Finally, some scholars address leadership from a *skills perspective*. This viewpoint stresses the capabilities (knowledge and skills) that make effective leadership possible.

The Evolution of Leadership Concepts

Leadership became a topic of academic introspection more than a century ago, and ways of defining or explaining it have evolved continuously since. These definitions have been influenced by many factors, from world affairs and politics to the perspectives of the discipline in which the topic is being studied. In a seminal work, Rost (1991) analyzed materials written from 1900 to 1990, finding more than 200 different definitions for leadership. In his own definition, he emphasized leadership as a *relationship*. More recently, Curtin (2022) identified 700 definitions of leadership and ways to lead and found that the largest number of them defined leadership as an *action*,

followed by defining leadership as a *characteristic*, an *effect*, a *concept*, a *phenomenon*, a *role*, and a *state*. A succinct history of how leadership has been defined since 1900 shows how the field has evolved and continues to develop.

Leadership in the 20th Century

Conceptualizations of leadership appearing from 1900 to 1929 emphasized *control* and *centralization of power* with a common theme of domination. For example, at a conference on leadership in 1927, leadership was defined as “the ability to impress the will of the leader on those led and [to] induce obedience, respect, loyalty, and cooperation” (Moore, 1927, p. 124).

In the 1930s, traits became the focus of leadership studies, with leadership viewed as influence through the interaction of an individual’s traits with others in a group. A decade later, the group approach came to the forefront with leadership defined as the behavior of an individual while involved in directing group activities (Hemphill, 1949).

During the 1950s, group theory continued to evolve while two other behavioral approaches began to emerge: leadership as a relationship that develops shared goals based on the behavior of the leader; and effectiveness, in which leadership was defined by the ability to influence overall group effectiveness.

In the 1970s, the group focus gave way to the organizational behavior approach, where leadership was viewed as “initiating and maintaining groups or organizations to accomplish group or organizational goals” (Rost, 1991, p. 59). Burns’s (1978) definition, however, was the most important concept of leadership to emerge during this period: “Leadership is the reciprocal process of mobilizing by persons with certain motives and values, various economic, political, and other resources, in a context of competition and conflict, in order to realize goals independently or mutually held by both leaders and followers” (p. 425).

The 1980s exploded with scholarly and popular works on the nature of leadership, resulting in a prolific stew of new definitions. Leadership defined as getting followers to do what the leader wants done still dominated, but with an emphasis on influence, specifically noncoercive influence. Traits came back into the forefront, spurred by the national best-selling book *In Search of Excellence* (Peters & Waterman, 1982), which raised the debate of whether leadership and management are different processes. Once again, Burns (1978) is credited with initiating a new leadership movement during this period—leadership as a transformational process—stating that leadership occurs “when one or more persons engage with others in such a way that leaders and followers raise one another to higher levels of motivation and morality” (p. 83).

The 1990s took a different look at leadership, emphasizing the process of leadership and how leaders influence a group of individuals to achieve a common goal with

particular attention on the role of followers. Among these leadership approaches were *servant leadership*, which puts the leader in the role of a servant who utilizes “caring principles” focusing on followers’ needs to help followers become more autonomous, knowledgeable, and like servants themselves (Graham, 1991); *followership*, which puts a spotlight on followers and the role they play in the leadership process (Hollander, 1992); and *adaptive leadership*, in which leaders encourage followers to adapt by confronting and solving problems, challenges, and changes (Heifetz, 1994).

Leadership in the New Millennium

The 21st century brought an increased attention to leadership that had a moral dimension, with *authentic* and *ethical leadership* gaining interest from researchers and executives. Other new approaches, such as *leader humility* and *spiritual leadership*, highlighted communication between leaders and followers. As organizations became increasingly diverse, *inclusive leadership*, which focuses on leader behaviors that facilitate followers’ feelings of belongingness to the group while maintaining their individuality (Shore, Cleveland, & Sanchez, 2018), emerged.

As we near the end of the 2020s, approaches continue to emphasize inclusion. New approaches also explore *complexity leadership*, which is multilevel leadership (administrative, enabling, adaptive) that fosters interrelationships, emergence, change, adaptation, and innovation (Hanson & Ford, 2010; Marion & Uhl-Bien, 2001); and *shared* and *distributed leadership*, where members of a group have equal input and influence on decision making.

Definition and Components

Despite the multitude of ways in which leadership has been conceptualized, the following components can be identified as central to the phenomenon: (a) Leadership is a process, (b) leadership involves influence, (c) leadership occurs in groups, and (d) leadership involves common goals. Based on these components, the following definition of leadership is used in this text:

Leadership is a process whereby an individual influences a group of individuals to achieve a common goal.

Defining leadership as a *process* means that it is not a trait or characteristic that resides in the leader, but rather a transactional event that occurs between the leader and the followers. *Process* implies that a leader affects and is affected by followers. It emphasizes that leadership is not a linear, one-way event, but rather an interactive event. When leadership is defined in this manner, it becomes available to everyone. It is not restricted to the formally designated leader in a group.

Leadership involves *influence*. It is concerned with how the leader affects followers and the communication that occurs between leaders and followers (Ruben & Gigliotti,

2017). Influence is the sine qua non of leadership. Without influence, leadership does not exist.

Leadership occurs in *groups*. Groups are the context in which leadership takes place. Leadership involves influencing a group of individuals who have a common purpose. This can be a small task group, a community group, or a large group encompassing an entire organization. Leadership is about one individual influencing a group of others to accomplish common goals. Others (a group) are required for leadership to occur. Leadership training programs that teach people to lead themselves are not considered a part of leadership within the definition that is set forth in this discussion.

Leadership includes attention to *common goals*. Leaders direct their energies toward individuals who are trying to achieve something together. By *common*, we mean that the leaders and followers have a mutual purpose. Attention to common goals gives leadership an ethical overtone because it stresses the need for leaders to work with followers to achieve selected goals. Stressing mutuality lessens the possibility that leaders might act toward followers in ways that are forced or unethical. It also increases the possibility that leaders and followers will work together toward a common good (Rost, 1991).

Throughout this text, the people who engage in leadership will be called *leaders*, and those toward whom leadership is directed will be called *followers*. Both leaders and followers are involved together in the leadership process. Leaders need followers, and followers need leaders (Burns, 1978; Heller & Van Til, 1983; Hollander, 1992; Jago, 1982). An extended discussion of followership is provided in Chapter 12. Although leaders and followers are closely linked, it is the leader who often initiates the relationship, creates the communication linkages, and carries the burden for maintaining the relationship.

In our discussion of leaders and followers, attention will be directed toward follower issues as well as leader issues. Leaders have an ethical responsibility to attend to the needs and concerns of followers. As Burns (1978) pointed out, discussions of leadership sometimes are viewed as elitist because of the implied power and importance often ascribed to leaders in the leader–follower relationship. Leaders are not above or better than followers. Leaders and followers must be understood in relation to each other (Hollander, 1992) and collectively (Burns, 1978). They are in the leadership relationship together—and are two sides of the same coin (Rost, 1991).

LEADERSHIP DESCRIBED

In addition to definitional issues, it is important to discuss several other questions pertaining to the nature of leadership. In the following section, we will address questions such as how leadership as a trait differs from leadership as a process; how appointed


leadership differs from emergent leadership; and how the concepts of power, coercion, morality, and management interact with leadership.

Trait Versus Process Leadership

We have all heard statements such as “He is born to be a leader” or “She is a natural leader” or “Leadership is in their DNA.” These statements are commonly expressed by people who take a trait perspective toward leadership. The trait perspective suggests that certain individuals have special innate or inborn characteristics or qualities that make them leaders, and that it is these qualities that differentiate them from nonleaders. Some of the personal qualities used to identify leaders include unique physical factors (e.g., height), personality features (e.g., extraversion), and other characteristics (e.g., intelligence and fluency; Bryman, 1992). In Chapter 2, we will discuss a large body of research that has examined these personal qualities.

To describe leadership as a trait is quite different from describing it as a process (Figure 1.1). The trait viewpoint conceptualizes leadership as a property or set of properties possessed in varying degrees by different people (Jago, 1982). This suggests that it resides *in* select people and restricts leadership to those who are believed to have special, usually inborn, talents.

FIGURE 1.1 ■ The Different Views of Leadership



Source: Adapted from *A Force for Change: How Leadership Differs From Management* (pp. 3–8), by J. P. Kotter, 1990, New York, NY: Free Press.

The process viewpoint suggests that leadership is a phenomenon that resides in the context of the interactions between leaders and followers and makes leadership available to everyone. As a process, leadership can be observed in leader behaviors (Jago, 1982) and can be learned. The process definition of leadership is consistent with the definition of leadership that we have set forth in this chapter.

Assigned Versus Emergent Leadership

Some people are leaders because of their formal position in an organization, whereas others are leaders because of the way other group members respond to them. These two common forms of leadership are called *assigned leadership* and *emergent leadership*. Leadership that is based on occupying a position in an organization is assigned leadership. Team leaders, plant managers, department heads, directors, and administrators are all examples of assigned leaders.

Yet the person assigned to a leadership position does not always become the real leader in a particular setting. When others perceive an individual as the most influential member of a group or an organization, regardless of the individual's title, the person is exhibiting emergent leadership. The individual acquires emergent leadership through other people in the organization who support and accept that individual's behavior. This type of leadership is not assigned by position; rather, it emerges over a period through communication. Some of the positive communication behaviors that account for successful leader emergence include *being verbally involved*, *being informed*, *seeking others' opinions*, *initiating new ideas*, and *being firm but not rigid* (Ellis & Fisher, 1994).

Researchers have found that, in addition to communication behaviors, personality plays a role in leadership emergence. For example, Smith and Foti (1998) found that certain personality traits were related to leadership emergence in a sample of 160 male college students. The individuals who were more dominant, more intelligent, and more confident about their own performance (general self-efficacy) were more likely to be identified as leaders by other members of their task group. Although it is uncertain whether these findings apply to women as well, Smith and Foti suggested that these three traits could be used to identify individuals perceived to be emergent leaders.

Leadership emergence may also be affected by gender-biased perceptions. In a study of 40 mixed-sex college groups, Watson and Hoffman (2004) found that women who were urged to persuade their task groups to adopt high-quality decisions succeeded with the same frequency as men with identical instructions. Although women were equally influential leaders in their groups, they were rated significantly lower than comparable men were on leadership. Furthermore, these influential women were also rated as significantly less likable than comparably influential men were. Another study found that men who spoke up to promote new ideas in teams were granted higher status compared to women who did so (McClellan, Martin, Emich, & Woodruff, 2018). These results suggest that there continue to be barriers to women's emergence as leaders in some settings.

A unique perspective on leadership emergence is provided by social identity theory (Hogg, 2001). From this perspective, leadership emergence is the degree to which a person fits with the identity of the group as a whole. As groups develop over time, a group prototype also develops. Individuals emerge as leaders in the group when they become most like the group prototype. Being similar to the prototype makes leaders attractive to the group and gives them influence with the group.

The leadership approaches we discuss in the subsequent chapters of this book apply equally to assigned leadership and emergent leadership. When a person is engaged in leadership, that person is a leader, whether leadership was assigned or emerged. This book focuses on the leadership process that occurs when any individual is engaged in influencing other group members in their efforts to reach a common goal.

Leadership and Power

The concept of power is related to leadership because it is part of the influence process. Power is the capacity or potential to influence. People have power when they have the ability to affect others' beliefs, attitudes, and courses of action. Judges, doctors, coaches, and teachers are all examples of people who have the potential to influence us. When they do, they are using their power, the resource they draw on to effect change in us.

Although there are no explicit theories in the research literature about power and leadership, power is a concept that people often associate with leadership. It is common for people to view leaders (both good and bad) and people in positions of leadership as individuals who wield power over others, and as a result, power is often thought of as synonymous with leadership. In addition, people are often intrigued by how leaders use their power. Understanding how power is used in leadership is instrumental as well in understanding the dark side of leadership, where leaders use their leadership to achieve their own personal ends and lead in toxic and destructive ways (Krasikova, Green, & LeBreton, 2013). Studying how famous leaders, such as Adolf Hitler or Alexander the Great, use power to effect change in others is titillating to many people because it underscores that power can indeed effectuate change and maybe if they had power they too could effectuate change.

In her 2012 book *The End of Leadership*, Kellerman argues there has been a shift in leadership power during the last 40 years. Power used to be the domain of leaders, but that is diminishing and shifting to followers. Changes in culture have meant followers demand more from leaders, and leaders have responded. Access to technology has empowered followers, given them access to huge amounts of information, and made leaders more transparent. The result is a decline in respect for leaders and leaders' legitimate power. In effect, followers have used information power to level the playing field. Power is no longer synonymous with leadership, and in the social contract between leaders and followers, leaders wield less power, according to Kellerman. For example, Posner (2015) examined volunteer leaders, such as those who sit on boards for nonprofit organizations, and found that while these individuals did not have positional authority in the organization, they were able to influence leadership. Volunteer leaders engaged more frequently in leadership behaviors than did paid leaders.

In college courses today, the most widely cited research on power is French and Raven's (1959) work on the bases of social power. In their work, they conceptualized power from the framework of a dyadic relationship that included both the person influencing and the

person being influenced. French and Raven identified five common and important bases of power—*referent*, *expert*, *legitimate*, *reward*, and *coercive*—and Raven (1965) identified a sixth, *information* power (Table 1.1). Each of these bases of power increases a leader’s capacity to influence the attitudes, values, or behaviors of others.

TABLE 1.1 ■ Six Bases of Power

Referent Power	Based on followers’ identification and liking for the leader. A teacher who is adored by students has referent power.
Expert Power	Based on followers’ perceptions of the leader’s competence. A tour guide who is knowledgeable about a foreign country has expert power.
Legitimate Power	Associated with having status or formal job authority. A judge who administers sentences in the courtroom exhibits legitimate power.
Reward Power	Derived from having the capacity to provide rewards to others. A supervisor who compliments employees who work hard is using reward power.
Coercive Power	Derived from having the capacity to penalize or punish others. A coach who sits players on the bench for being late to practice is using coercive power.
Information Power	Derived from possessing knowledge that others want or need. A boss who has information regarding new criteria to decide employee promotion eligibility has information power.

Sources: Adapted from “The Bases of Social Power,” by J. R. French Jr. and B. Raven, 1962, in D. Cartwright (Ed.), *Group Dynamics: Research and Theory* (pp. 259–269), New York, NY: Harper & Row; and “Social Influence and Power,” by B. H. Raven, 1965, in I. D. Steiner & M. Fishbein (Eds.), *Current Studies in Social Psychology* (pp. 371–382), New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.

In organizations, there are two major kinds of power: position power and personal power. *Position power*, which includes legitimate, reward, coercive, and information power (Table 1.2), is the power a person derives from a particular office or rank in a formal organizational system. It is the influence capacity a leader derives from having higher status than the followers have. Position power allows leaders to attain central

TABLE 1.2 ■ Types and Bases of Power

Position Power	Personal Power
Legitimate	Referent
Reward	Expert
Coercive	
Information	

Source: Adapted from *A Force for Change: How Leadership Differs From Management* (pp. 3–8), by J. P. Kotter, 1990, New York, NY: Free Press.

roles in organizations; for example, vice presidents and department heads have more power than staff personnel do because of the positions they hold in the organization. In addition, leaders' informal networks bring them greater social power, which separates leaders from nonleaders (Chiu, Balkundi, & Weinberg, 2017).

Personal power is the influence capacity a leader derives from being seen by followers as likable and knowledgeable. When leaders act in ways that are important to followers, it gives leaders power. For example, some managers have power because their followers consider them to be good role models. Others have power because their followers view them as highly competent or considerate. In both cases, these managers' power is ascribed to them by others, based on how they are seen in their relationships with others. Personal power includes referent and expert power (Table 1.2).

In discussions of leadership, it is not unusual for leaders to be described as wielders of power, as individuals who dominate others. In these instances, power is conceptualized as a tool that leaders use to achieve their own ends. Contrary to this view of power, Burns (1978) emphasized power from a relationship standpoint. For Burns, power is not an entity that leaders use over others to achieve their own ends; instead, power occurs in relationships. It should be used by leaders and followers to promote their collective goals.

In this text, our discussions of leadership treat power as a relational concern for both leaders and followers. We pay attention to how leaders work with followers to reach common goals.

Leadership and Coercion

Coercive power is one of the specific kinds of power available to leaders. Coercion involves the use of force to effect change. *To coerce* means to influence others to do something against their will and may include manipulating penalties and rewards in their work environment. Coercion often involves the use of threats, punishment, and negative reward schedules and is most often seen as a characteristic of the dark side of leadership. Classic examples of coercive leaders are Adolf Hitler in Germany, the Taliban leaders in Afghanistan, Jim Jones in Guyana, and Philippine president Rodrigo Duterte, each of whom used power and restraint to force followers to engage in extreme behaviors. At an extreme, coercion combines with other bullying and tyrannical behaviors known as abusive supervision (Tepper, 2007).

It is important to distinguish between coercion and leadership because it allows us to separate out from our examples of leadership the behaviors of individuals such as Hitler, the Taliban, and Jones. In our discussions of leadership, coercive people are not used as models of ideal leadership. Our definition suggests that leadership is reserved for those who influence a group of individuals toward a common goal. Leaders who use coercion are interested in their own goals and seldom are interested in the wants and needs of followers. Using coercion runs counter to working *with* followers to achieve a common goal.

Leadership and Morality

In considering the relationship of leadership and morality, let's start with a simple question: Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

Hitler's rule in Germany could be considered a good example of leadership.

Throughout the United States and around the world, in classroom discussions of leadership, the question about whether or not Adolf Hitler was a “great” leader inevitably comes up. Your response to this statement is intended to bring out whether your conceptualization of leadership includes a moral dimension or if you think that leadership is a neutral concept that treats leadership as amoral.

If you answered *agree* to the statement, you probably come down on the side of thinking the phenomenon of leadership is neutral, or amoral. You might think it is obvious that Hitler *was* a leader because he was very charismatic and persuasive and his actions had a huge impact on Germany and the world. On the other hand, if you answered *disagree*, you most likely think of Hitler's leadership as being in no way positive and that the notion of Hitler as a model of leadership is repugnant because you reserve the concept of leadership for nondestructive leaders who create change for the common good. That is, you believe leadership cannot be divorced from values; it is a moral phenomenon and has a moral component.

For as long as leadership has been studied, the debate of whether or not leadership has a moral dimension has been a focus of leadership scholars. It is an important debate because it gets at the core of what we think the phenomenon of leadership actually entails. How we define leadership is central to how we talk about leadership, how we develop the components of leadership, how we research it, and how we teach it.

There are two trains of thought regarding the role of values and morality in leadership: Leadership is either (1) a *value-neutral process* that *is not* guided or dependent on a value system that advances the common good or (2) a *moral process* that *is* guided and dependent on values promotive of the common good.

Leadership as a Value-Neutral Process

It is common for people to think of leadership as a value-neutral concept—one that is not tied to morality. From this perspective, leadership can be used for good ends or bad, and can be employed both by individuals who have worthy intentions and by those who do not. For example, moral leaders like Mother Teresa, Nelson Mandela, and Martin Luther King Jr. used leadership for good. On the other hand, Adolf Hitler, Pol Pot, and Idi Amin used leadership destructively. Common to all of these examples is that these leaders used leadership to influence followers to move toward and accomplish certain goals. The only difference is that some leaders used leadership in laudatory ways while others used leadership in highly destructive ways.

A classic historical example of treating leadership as an amoral concept can be found in Niccolò Machiavelli's *The Prince* (c. 1505; Nederman, 2019). In this book,

Machiavelli philosophizes that moral values need not play a role in decision making; instead, leaders should concentrate on using power to achieve their goals. Their focus should be on the ends, or consequences, of their leadership and need not be about the means. Machiavelli endorsed leaders' use of fear and deception, if necessary, to accomplish tasks; he was concerned with the pragmatics of what leaders do and not the rightness or wrongness of a leader's actions (Nederman, 2019).

There are an abundance of definitions of leadership, and most of these treat the concept of morality in a neutral fashion (e.g., Rost's 1991 analysis of 221 definitions of leadership). These definitions do not require that leadership result in only positive outcomes. To use a specific example, Padilla (2013) defines leadership as "an organized group process with associated goals resulting in a set of outcomes" (p. 12), which involves a leader, followers, and contexts. Based on this perspective, leadership is value neutral and can be used for constructive or destructive ends. Hitler was very successful at influencing others to accomplish his goals, which were horrendously destructive. How he did this could be called leadership, the process itself being value neutral.

Leadership as a Moral Process

In contrast to describing leadership as a neutral process, some in the field of leadership argue (as we do in this chapter) that leadership has a value dimension—it is about influencing others to make changes *to achieve a common good*. From this perspective, Hitler, who thwarted the common good, *cannot* be considered a "great" leader.

One of the first scholars to conceptualize leadership as a moral process was James MacGregor Burns in his book *Leadership* (1978). For Burns, leadership is about raising the motivations and moral levels of followers. He argued it is the responsibility of a leader to help followers assess their own values and needs in order to raise them to a higher level of functioning, to a level that will stress values such as liberty, justice, and equality (Ciulla, 2014). Burns (2003) argued that values are central to what leaders do.

Expanding on Burns, Bass (1985) developed a model of leadership (see Chapter 8, "Transformational Leadership") that delineated transforming leadership, a kind of leadership that affects the level of values of followers. Because it is difficult to use the term *transformational leadership* when describing a leader such as Adolf Hitler, the term *pseudotransformational leadership* was coined by Bass to refer to leaders who focus on their own personal goals over the common good and are self-consumed, exploitive, and power-oriented, with warped moral values (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Bass & Steidlmeier, 1999). In contrast to pseudotransformational leadership, "real" or "ideal" transformational leadership is described as socialized leadership—leadership that is concerned with the collective good. Socialized leaders transcend their own interests for the sake of others (Howell & Avolio, 1993).

Additionally, morals have a central role in two established leadership theories, *authentic leadership* and *servant leadership*. Authentic leadership (see Chapter 9) is an extension of transformational leadership, stressing that leaders do what is "right" and "good" for their followers and society. They understand their own values, place

followers' needs above their own, and work with followers to align their interests in order to create a greater common good. Similarly, servant leadership has a strong moral dimension. It makes altruism the central component of the leadership process and frames leadership around the principle of caring for others. Within this paradigm, leaders are urged to *not* dominate, direct, or control others; they are urged to give up control rather than seek control.

Referring back to the question about whether you agree or disagree that Hitler is an example of leadership, your answer has to be predicated on what you think leadership is. If you think leadership is a neutral process that does not have a moral requirement, then Hitler is an example of leadership. On the other hand, if you think leadership includes ethical considerations such as elevating the morals, values, and goals of followers to make more principled judgments (Burns, 1978), then Hitler is not an example of leadership. In this view, he was nothing more than a despotic, Machiavellian autocrat and an evil dictator responsible for the imprisonment, abuse, and execution of millions of innocent people and the unprovoked origin of World War II—the deadliest armed conflict in history.

Leadership and Management

Leadership is a process that is similar to management in many ways. Leadership involves influence, as does management. Leadership entails working with people, which management entails as well. Leadership is concerned with effective goal accomplishment, and so is management. In general, many of the functions of management are activities that are consistent with the definition of leadership we set forth at the beginning of this chapter.

But leadership is also different from management. Whereas the study of leadership can be traced back to Aristotle, management emerged around the turn of the 20th century with the advent of our industrialized society. Management was created as a way to reduce chaos in organizations, to make them run more effectively and efficiently. The primary functions of management, as first identified by Fayol (1916), were planning, organizing, staffing, and controlling. These functions are still representative of the field of management today.

In a book that compared the functions of management with the functions of leadership, Kotter (1990) argued that they are quite dissimilar (Table 1.3). The overriding function of management is to provide order and consistency to organizations, whereas the primary function of leadership is to produce change and movement. Management is about seeking order and stability; leadership is about seeking adaptive and constructive change.

As illustrated in Table 1.3, the major activities of management are played out differently than the activities of leadership. Although they are different in scope, Kotter (1990, pp. 7–8) contended that both management and leadership are essential if an organization is to prosper. For example, if an organization has strong management

TABLE 1.3 ■ Functions of Management and Leadership

Management Produces Order and Consistency	Leadership Produces Change and Movement
Planning and Budgeting Establish agendas Set timetables Allocate resources	Establishing Direction Create a vision Clarify the big picture Set strategies
Organizing and Staffing Provide structure Make job placements Establish rules and procedures	Aligning People Communicate goals Seek commitment Build teams and coalitions
Controlling and Problem Solving Develop incentives Generate creative solutions Take corrective action	Motivating and Inspiring Inspire and energize Empower followers Satisfy unmet needs

Source: Adapted from *A Force for Change: How Leadership Differs From Management* (pp. 3–8), by J. P. Kotter, 1990, New York, NY: Free Press.

without leadership, the outcome can be stifling and bureaucratic. Conversely, if an organization has strong leadership without management, the outcome can be meaningless or misdirected change for change's sake. To be effective, organizations need to nourish both competent management and skilled leadership.

Many scholars, in addition to Kotter (1990), argue that leadership and management are distinct constructs. For example, Bennis and Nanus (2007) maintained that there is a significant difference between the two. *To manage* means to accomplish activities and master routines, whereas *to lead* means to influence others and create visions for change. Bennis and Nanus made the distinction very clear in their frequently quoted sentence, “Managers are people who do things right and leaders are people who do the right thing” (p. 221).

Rost (1991) has also been a proponent of distinguishing between leadership and management. He contended that leadership is a multidirectional influence relationship and management is a unidirectional authority relationship. Whereas leadership is concerned with the process of developing mutual purposes, management is directed toward coordinating activities to get a job done. Leaders and followers work together to create real change, whereas managers and subordinates join forces to sell goods and services (Rost, 1991, pp. 149–152).

In a recent study, Simonet and Tett (2012) explored how best to conceptualize leadership and management by having 43 experts identify the overlap and differences between leadership and management in regard to 63 different competencies. They found a large number of competencies (22) descriptive of both leadership and

management (e.g., productivity, customer focus, professionalism, and goal setting), but they also found several unique descriptors for each. Specifically, they found leadership was distinguished by motivating intrinsically, creative thinking, strategic planning, tolerance of ambiguity, and being able to read people, and management was distinguished by rule orientation, short-term planning, motivating extrinsically, orderliness, safety concerns, and timeliness.

Approaching the issue from a narrower viewpoint, Zaleznik (1977) went so far as to argue that leaders and managers themselves are distinct, and that they are basically different types of people. He contended that managers are reactive and prefer to work with people to solve problems but do so with low emotional involvement. They act to limit choices. Zaleznik suggested that leaders, on the other hand, are emotionally active and involved. They seek to shape ideas instead of responding to them and act to expand the available options to solve long-standing problems. Leaders change the way people think about what is possible.

Although there are clear differences between management and leadership, the two constructs overlap. When managers are involved in influencing a group to meet its goals, they are involved in leadership. When leaders are involved in planning, organizing, staffing, and controlling, they are involved in management. Both processes involve influencing a group of individuals toward goal attainment. For purposes of our discussion in this book, we focus on the leadership process. In our examples and case studies, we treat the roles of managers and leaders similarly and do not emphasize the differences between them.

PLAN OF THE BOOK

This book is user-friendly. It is based on substantive theories but is written to emphasize practice and application. Each chapter in the book follows the same format. The first section of each chapter briefly describes the leadership approach and discusses various research studies applicable to the approach. The second section of each chapter evaluates the approach and how it works. The subsequent sections describe the strengths and weaknesses of each approach, discuss its application in the real world, present case studies about the approach, and provide a self-assessment leadership questionnaire. Each chapter ends with a summary and references.

CASE STUDIES

Case 1.1 is provided to illustrate different dimensions of leadership as well as allow you to examine your own perspective on what defines a leader and leadership. At the end of the case, you will find questions that will help in analyzing the case.

Case 1.1 Three Leaders

PASTOR CALVIN REED—ORCHARD PARK CHAPLAIN

Orchard Park is a privately owned retirement facility serving 130 residents. In addition to providing amenities such as a salon, a library, dining, a theater, activities, transportation, and a café, Orchard Park provides a nondenominational chaplain for the residents. The current chaplain is Calvin Reed, a retired pastor. He is 81 years old and treats his position as a full-time job. Orchard Park residents address him as Pastor Cal and often call on him for a wide range of concerns. The company that owns the facility pays Calvin a very minimal salary. He has worked for Orchard Park for 15 years, but for some reason, neither his name nor his photo is listed in the facility's directory.

Pastor Cal wears many hats at Orchard Park. To begin with, he is responsible for developing and delivering a religious service every Sunday for residents of all faiths. He attends the retirement center's social gatherings every Wednesday to engage with residents and celebrate life, but also to listen to their concerns. Pastor Cal has a background in counseling and regularly provides private sessions to residents and their family members. Furthermore, Pastor Cal willingly conducts funerals, as many as two every month.

Retirement homes throughout the country are known to have thin profit margins. The fact that Orchard Park has Pastor Cal, who is willing to receive next to nothing in salary, is a real blessing. This is especially the case when one considers how much Pastor Cal has improved the quality of the retirement home and its residents' lives. He is a positive person who gives residents a sense of security; they feel less anxious knowing that a spiritual leader is available to address their concerns. Many of the residents are in their 90s and have apprehensions about death. Pastor Cal is invaluable because he is able and willing to address their fears and end-of-life worries. If you asked Pastor Cal why he spends so much time serving Orchard Park, he would most likely respond, "It gives me meaning and purpose and a few bucks to take my wife out for dinner."

DAVID SMITH—BUSINESS "TURNAROUND" SPECIALIST

David Smith is a successful businessman known by his colleagues and people in the community as a competent and shrewd "turnaround" expert. He seeks out and buys companies that are failing and uses his skills to make these businesses successful. In his career, he has bought nearly a dozen troubled or distressed companies, implemented strategies to turn them around, and put them on a more profitable track.

David has a knack for spotting companies that could flourish under the right leadership. He brings a fresh set of eyes to these companies, identifying the root problems that exist, and making effective changes to fix the problems often on a short timeline.

David is an effective problem solver whose skills are ideally suited to accomplish successful turnarounds. The people who work with David describe him as decisive and objective. If the vision of the company needs to be changed, David changes it. If the production process appears problematic, he is quick to redesign it. If workers' efforts are not aligned with his vision, he is comfortable firing them. Although workers do not always like David's style, they go along with his demands because they view him as a leader capable of turning things around.

ELENA RUIZ—HEAD OF PRIVATE SCHOOL

Elena Ruiz is tall, is of slight build, and has a buoyant smile. Every school day, she can be seen standing on the sidewalk in front of Madison School, greeting students when they get off the school bus in the morning and telling them goodbye as they get on the school bus in the afternoon. Elena's dog, Shelby, is always by her side in sun, rain, or snow. A two-year-old Airedale Terrier, Shelby happily receives petting from the students who want to say hello. This scene of Elena and her dog captures the essence of what Madison School is about—it is welcoming, friendly, and a safe place to be.

Madison School was created 15 years ago by a group of parents who decided to create a faith-based, private elementary school to serve children from diverse backgrounds. They selected Elena, who has a doctoral degree in educational leadership, to be the head of the new school and lead this effort. Starting in an old, abandoned city school building with 70 students, Madison School's reputation for being a school of excellence grew rapidly. The original structure was expanded twice to add four new classes. Because many city schools were experiencing shrinking enrollments, the expansion of Madison School stood out, suggesting something special was happening there.

As its leader since its inception, Elena is recognized as the prime reason for the success and growth of the school. She sees her role as partnering with teachers, staff, and parents to shape the school environment and curriculum to fully engage the minds of students. Of the many contributions she has made, her most important are the values and norms she has instituted and set in motion at the school. For example, if you were to visit Madison School during school hours, students from first or second grade would greet you in the hallway, introduce themselves, and welcome you. Everyone who visits the school gets this treatment. In addition to making the visitor feel welcomed, it is a way to engage students and help them with their self-presentation skills.

For Elena, diversity and inclusion are primary school values. For example, 49% of the school's students are from diverse backgrounds. Because tuition is charged to attend Madison School, the school has created an endowment that provides tuition

assistance to families in need. More than half of the 250 students attending the school receive tuition support. Overall, parents cannot say enough positive things about the school. For example, one mother praises the school for its observance of Martin Luther King Jr. Day. In addition to doing in-house programs on King's life and his famous "I Have a Dream" speech, the school has a half-day event where all students create signs and posters about King and then march in the neighborhood to commemorate the Selma-to-Montgomery protest march for civil rights that King led. Another mother talks about how Elena was willing to admit her son to Madison School in the middle of the semester after he had been expelled by a local public school for excessive fighting on the playground. She and others see these efforts as evidence of Elena's and the school's commitment that every student at the school will know they are accepted and that they belong.

QUESTIONS

1. Using the definition of leadership in this chapter, describe how each of these individuals exhibits leadership. What groups are they trying to influence? What common goals are they trying to achieve?
2. Based on the "Ways of Conceptualizing Leadership" section in this chapter, how would you define the leadership of Calvin Reed, David Smith, and Elena Ruiz?
3. If you could be like one of these leaders, which one would you aspire to be? Discuss your answer.
4. Based on our discussion of morality and leadership in this chapter, do you think leadership has a moral dimension, or is it a value-neutral process? How would you describe the moral dimension of each of these three leaders' leadership?
5. Leadership is about serving the common good. How would you assess each case regarding how they served the common good?

LEADERSHIP INSTRUMENT

The meaning of leadership is complex and includes many dimensions. For some people, leadership is a *trait* or an *ability*, for others it is a *skill* or a *behavior*, and for still others it is a *relationship* or a *process*. In reality, leadership probably includes components of all of these dimensions. Each dimension explains a facet of leadership.

Which dimension seems closest to how you think of leadership? How would you define leadership? Answers to these questions are important because *how you think* about leadership will strongly influence *how you practice* leadership. In this section, the Conceptualizing Leadership Questionnaire is provided as an example of a measure that can be used to assess how you define and view leadership.

Conceptualizing Leadership Questionnaire

Purpose: To identify how you view leadership and to explore your perceptions of different aspects of leadership

Instructions: Using the scale provided, indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements about leadership.

Key: 1 = Strongly disagree 2 = Disagree 3 = Neutral 4 = Agree 5 = Strongly agree

- | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | When I think of leadership, I think of a person with special personality traits. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2. | Much like playing the piano or tennis, leadership is a learned ability. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. | Leadership requires knowledge and know-how. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4. | Leadership is about what people do rather than who they are. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. | Followers can influence the leadership process as much as leaders. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6. | Leadership is about the process of influencing others. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7. | Some people are born to be leaders. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 8. | Some people have the natural ability to be leaders. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 9. | The key to successful leadership is having the right skills. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. | Leadership is best described by what leaders do. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. | Leaders and followers share in the leadership process. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. | Leadership is a series of actions directed toward positive ends. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. | A person needs to have certain traits to be an effective leader. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. | Everyone has the capacity to be a leader. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. | Effective leaders are competent in their roles. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. | The essence of leadership is performing tasks and dealing with people. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. | Leadership is about the common purposes of leaders and followers. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. | Leadership does not rely on the leader alone but is a process involving the leader, followers, and the situation. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. | People become great leaders because of their traits. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. | People can develop the ability to lead. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. | Effective leaders have competence and knowledge. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 22. | Leadership is about how leaders work with people to accomplish goals. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 23. | Effective leadership is best explained by the leader–follower relationship. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 24. | Leaders influence and are influenced by followers. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

SCORING

1. Sum scores on items 1, 7, 13, and 19 (trait emphasis)
2. Sum scores on items 2, 8, 14, and 20 (ability emphasis)
3. Sum scores on items 3, 9, 15, and 21 (skill emphasis)
4. Sum scores on items 4, 10, 16, and 22 (behavior emphasis)
5. Sum scores on items 5, 11, 17, and 23 (relationship emphasis)
6. Sum scores on items 6, 12, 18, and 24 (process emphasis)

TOTAL SCORES

1. Trait emphasis: _____
2. Ability emphasis: _____
3. Skill emphasis: _____
4. Behavior emphasis: _____
5. Relationship emphasis: _____
6. Process emphasis: _____

SCORING INTERPRETATION

The scores you received on this questionnaire provide information about how you define and view leadership. The emphasis you give to the various dimensions of leadership has implications for how you approach the leadership process. For example, if your highest score is for *trait emphasis*, it suggests that you emphasize the role of the leader and the leader's special gifts in the leadership process. However, if your highest score is for *relationship emphasis*, it indicates that you think leadership is centered on the communication between leaders and followers, rather than on the unique qualities of the leader. By comparing your scores, you can gain an understanding of the aspects of leadership that you find most important and least important. The way you think about leadership will influence how you practice leadership.

SUMMARY

Leadership is a topic with universal appeal; in the popular press and academic research literature, much has been written about leadership. Despite the abundance of writing on the topic, leadership has presented a major challenge to practitioners and researchers interested in understanding the nature of leadership. It is a highly valued phenomenon that is very complex.

Through the years, leadership has been defined and conceptualized in many ways. The component common to nearly all classifications is that leadership is an influence process that assists groups of individuals toward goal attainment. Specifically in this book, leadership is defined as a process whereby an individual influences a group of individuals to achieve a common goal.

Because both leaders and followers are part of the leadership process, it is important to address issues that confront followers as well as issues that confront leaders. Leaders and followers should be understood in relation to each other.

In prior research, many studies have focused on leadership as a trait. The trait perspective suggests that certain people in our society have special innate qualities that make them leaders. This view restricts leadership to those who are believed to have special characteristics. In contrast, the approach in this text suggests that leadership is a process that can be learned, and that it is available to everyone.

Two common forms of leadership are *assigned* and *emergent*. *Assigned leadership* is based on a formal title or position in an organization. *Emergent leadership* results from what one does and how one acquires support from followers. Leadership, as a process, applies to individuals in both assigned roles and emergent roles.

Related to leadership is the concept of power, the potential to influence. There are two major kinds of power: position and personal. *Position* power, which is much like assigned leadership, is the power an individual derives from having a title in a formal organizational system. It includes legitimate, reward, information, and coercive power. *Personal* power comes from followers and includes referent and expert power. Followers give it to leaders because followers believe leaders have something of value. Treating power as a shared resource is important because it de-emphasizes the idea that leaders are power wielders.

While coercion has been a common power brought to bear by many individuals in charge, it should not be viewed as ideal leadership. Our definition of leadership stresses *using influence* to bring individuals toward a common goal, while coercion involves the use of threats and punishment to *induce change* in followers for the sake of the leaders. Coercion runs counter to leadership because it does not treat leadership as a process that emphasizes working *with* followers to achieve shared objectives.

There are two trains of thought regarding leadership and morality. Some argue that leadership is a *value-neutral process* that can be used by leaders for good and bad ends and would treat Hitler as an example of strong leadership. Others contend that leadership is a *moral process* that involves influencing others to achieve a common good. From this perspective, Hitler would not be an example of leadership.

Leadership and management are different concepts that overlap. They are different in that management traditionally focuses on the activities of planning, organizing, staffing, and controlling, whereas leadership emphasizes the general influence process. According to some researchers, management is concerned with creating order and stability, whereas leadership is about adaptation and constructive change. Other researchers go so far as to argue that managers and leaders are different types of people, with managers being more reactive and less emotionally involved and leaders being more proactive and more emotionally involved. The overlap between leadership and management is centered on how both involve influencing a group of individuals in goal attainment.

In this book, we discuss leadership as a complex process. Based on the research literature, we describe selected approaches to leadership and assess how they can be used to improve leadership in real situations.

2

TRAIT APPROACH

DESCRIPTION

Of interest to scholars throughout the 20th century, the trait approach was one of the first systematic attempts to study leadership. In the early 20th century, leadership traits were studied to determine what made certain people great leaders. The theories that were developed were called “great man” theories because they focused on identifying the innate qualities and characteristics possessed by great social, political, and military leaders (e.g., Catherine the Great, Mohandas Gandhi, Indira Gandhi, Abraham Lincoln, Joan of Arc, and Napoleon Bonaparte). It was believed that people were born with these traits, and that only the “great” people possessed them. During this time, research concentrated on determining the specific traits that clearly differentiated leaders from followers (Bass, 2008; Jago, 1982).

In the mid-20th century, the trait approach was challenged by research that questioned the universality of leadership traits. In a major review, Stogdill (1948) suggested that no consistent set of traits differentiated leaders from nonleaders across a variety of situations. An individual with leadership traits who was a leader in one situation might not be a leader in another situation. Rather than being a quality that individuals possess, leadership was reconceptualized as a relationship between people in a social situation. Personal factors related to leadership continued to be important, but researchers contended that these factors were to be considered as relative to the requirements of the situation.

The trait approach has generated much interest among researchers for its explanation of how traits influence leadership (Bryman, 1992). For example, Kirkpatrick and Locke (1991) went so far as to claim that effective leaders are actually distinct types of people. Lord, DeVader, and Alliger (1986) found that traits were strongly associated with individuals’ perceptions of leadership. More recently, Dinh and Lord (2012) examined the relationship between leadership effectiveness and followers’ perception of leadership traits.

The trait approach has earned new interest through the current emphasis given by many researchers to visionary and charismatic leadership (see Bass, 2008; Bennis & Nanus, 2007; Jacquart & Antonakis, 2015; Nadler & Tushman, 2012; Zaccaro, 2007; Zaleznik, 1977). Charismatic leadership catapulted to the forefront